

Targeted Consultation for the Evaluation of the Guidelines on State aid for Environmental protection and Energy 2014-2020 (EEAG)

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

In 2012, the Commission launched the State aid modernisation with the objectives to: 1) foster sustainable, smart and inclusive growth in a competitive internal market; 2) focus Commission's *ex ante* scrutiny on cases with the biggest impact on the internal market; and 3) streamline the rules and provide for faster decisions. In view of these objectives, the Commission has since 2013 revised a number of State aid rules, including the State aid Guidelines for environmental protection and energy (EEAG).

In January 2019, the European Commission announced its intention to prolong seven sets of State aid rules for a period of two years^[1] and launched a [comprehensive policy evaluation in the area of State aid \("Fitness Check"\)](#). Part of this exercise is the evaluation of the State aid Guidelines for environmental protection and energy to reflect if the current rules are still fit for purpose.

Besides the general public consultation on the fitness check of EU State aid rules, this targeted consultation aims to ask supplementary questions in order to gather stakeholders' views on the implementation of the State aid Guidelines for environmental protection and energy and the provisions applicable to aid for environmental protection (and energy) (Section 7) of the General Block Exemption Regulation (GBER) and to receive insights about potential gaps, overlaps or excessive regulatory burden.

You are kindly invited to reply to a set of 19 Questions. Please make sure you use the save button as you proceed with the questionnaire to avoid losing information that was already inserted - especially in the case of questions with open replies. At the end of the survey you will have an opportunity to provide broader, more general comments and to upload documents, which you consider as relevant.

The Commission will publish an analysis of the results of the Fitness Check and examine possible follow up actions at the beginning of 2020.

[1] For details and state-of-play see the relevant initiatives on the Better Regulation Portal: [State aid – 2-year extension for general block exemption regulation](#); [State aid – 2-year extension for de minimis regulation](#); [Prolongation of state aid rules reformed under the state aid modernisation package expiring end of 2020](#).

About you

For the rules on personal data protection on the EUROPA website, please see http://ec.europa.eu/geninfo/legal_notices_en.htm#personaldata

*** Publication privacy settings**

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

Please provide your contact details below.

*** Language of my contribution**

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- Gaelic
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

*** First name**

*** Surname**

*** I am giving my contribution as**

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

*** Scope**

- International
- National
- Regional
- Local

*** Organisation name**

255 character(s) maximum

*** Organisation size**

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

*** Please describe the main activities of your company/organisation/association, if applicable.**

1000 character(s) maximum

*** Please describe the relevance of State aid rules for you.**

1000 character(s) maximum

How would you best describe the nature of your understanding and involvement in matters related to State aid rules?

1000 character(s) maximum

***Country of origin**

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation

- Åland Islands
- Afghanistan
- Albania
- Algeria
- Andorra
- Angola
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Brazil
- Brunei Darussalam
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Côte D'Ivoire
- Cabo Verde
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- China
- Colombia

- Comoros
- Congo
- Costa Rica
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Cyprus
- Czech Republic
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Djibouti
- Dominica
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Ethiopia
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- Gabon
- Gambia
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Greece
- Grenada
- Guatemala
- Guinea
- Guinea Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Honduras
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan

- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Libya
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau

- Panama
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Poland
- Portugal
- Qatar
- Republic of Moldova
- Romania
- Russian Federation
- Rwanda
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- Sao Tome and Principe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Swaziland
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syrian Arab Republic
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago

- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States of America
- Uruguay
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Venezuela
- Viet Nam
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

* Email (this won't be published)

* I agree with the personal data protection provisions (see document in link below)

[Protection of your personal data.pdf](#)

EEAG Targeted Questionnaire

Effectiveness:

In this section, we would like your opinion on the extent to which the State aid Guidelines for environmental protection and energy (EEAG) and the provisions applicable to aid for environmental protection (which include provisions on energy) (Section 7) of the General Block Exemption Regulation (related GBER provisions) have achieved their objectives and delivered results.

1. Based on your experience, to what extent have the EEAG and the corresponding GBER provisions (e.g. tendering, technological neutrality, market integration) been effective in:

	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	I don't know
- enabling the deployment of renewables while lowering societal costs and reducing the amount of aid needed?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- facilitating the integration of renewable energy into the electricity market?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- ensuring financing of support schemes to renewable energy sources, while limiting negative impacts on the competitiveness of EU firms?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- ensuring that capacity mechanisms were necessary and cost-effective in providing security of supply and least-distortive to competition and intra-EU trade?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- ensuring that capacity mechanisms did not negatively impact the objective of phasing out environmentally harmful subsidies including for fossil fuels?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- ensuring that in cogeneration and district heating the most cost-efficient projects could be realised?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

Please continue if necessary:

5000 character(s) maximum

2. Based on your experience, have Member States created a level playing field for imported and domestically produced biofuels and/or biomass energy when providing support (for instance by supporting a specific type of domestically produced biofuels and/or biomass energy, but not other types of biofuels and/or biomass energy with similar costs or greenhouse gases emissions)?

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- I don't know

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

3. Based on your experience, to what extent has the GBER ensured public support for waste recycling while limiting the amount of aid to the minimum and limiting distortions of competition to the minimum?

- To a large extent
- To some extent
- Not at all
- I don't know

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

4. Based on your experience, to what extent has Article 39 GBER allowed aid through financial instruments for energy efficiency measures in buildings while limiting distortions of competition at the level of the financial intermediary and the funds involved?

- To a large extent
- To some extent
- Not at all
- I don't know

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

5. Based on your experience, has State aid granted under the EEAG or the GBER generally achieved the relevant climate and environmental protection objectives while maintaining a competitive internal market?

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- I don't know

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

6. Based on your experience, has State aid granted under the EEAG or the GBER generally achieved the relevant energy objectives while maintaining a competitive internal market?

- Yes
- No

- Partially
- I don't know

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

7. Based on your experience, have there been any unexpected or unintended results from the implementation of the EEAG and the corresponding GBER provisions?

- Yes
- No
- Partially
- I don't know

Please specify:

1000 character(s) maximum

8. Are there sectors (at NACE 4 level^[2]) and products (at Prodcom 8 level^[3]) which, were included in the list of eligible sectors and products for reductions under section 3.7.2. of the EEAG (c.f. Annex 3 and Annex 5 of the EEAG), but which, according to your experience, were not particularly affected by the financing costs of renewable energy support and therefore were not put at a significant competitive disadvantage?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

If you replied "Yes" to the question above, please list those sectors and sub-sectors and substantiate your answer:

1000 character(s) maximum

[2] NACE is derived from the French "Nomenclature statistique des Activités économiques dans la Communauté Européenne" (Statistical classification of economic activities in the European Community). It designates the various statistical classifications of economic activities developed since 1970 by the European Union. According to NACE rev.1.1: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/nomenclatures/index.cfm?TargetUrl=LST_CLS_DLD&StrNom=NACE_1_1&StrLanguageCode=EN&StrLayoutCode=HIERARCHIC

[3] Production Communautaire list, available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Industrial_production_statistics_introduced_-_PRODCOM PRODCOM is a survey, with an at-least-annual frequency, for the collection and dissemination of statistics on the production of industrial (mainly manufactured) goods, both in value and quantity terms, in the European Union. The PRODCOM survey is based on a list of products called the PRODCOM list which currently comprises about 4000 headings relating to industrial products and some industrial services. These products are detailed at an eight-digit level.

9. Are there sectors (at NACE 4 level^[4]) or products (at Prodcom 8 level^[5]) which, according to your experience, were particularly affected by the financing costs of

renewable energy support and therefore were put at a significant competitive disadvantage, but were not included in the list of eligible sectors for reductions under section 3.7.2. of the EEAG (c.f. Annex 3 and Annex 5 of the EEAG)?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

If you replied “Yes” to the question above, please list those sectors and sub-sectors and substantiate your answer:

1000 character(s) maximum

[4] According to NACE rev.1.1: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/ramon/nomenclatures/index.cfm?TargetUrl=LST_CLS_DLD&StrNom=NACE_1_1&StrLanguageCode=EN&StrLayoutCode=HIERARCHIC

[5] Production Communautaire list, available at: https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Industrial_production_statistics_introduced_-_PRODCOM

10. Based on your experience, have the minimum own contributions of the full electricity surcharges of 15 % of the full renewable surcharge, and 4 % and 0.5 % of the Gross Value Added of the undertaking concerned (see points 188 and 189 of the EEAG) been adequately set to ensure a sufficient financing basis for the underlying energy policy?

	Too high	Too low	Adequate	I don't know
15% of the full renewable surcharge	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
4% of the Gross Value Added	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
0.5% of the Gross Value Added	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please substantiate your answer:

1000 character(s) maximum

11. Based on your experience, have the reductions in electricity surcharges given to energy-intensive users (EIUs) created market distortions?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know

Please substantiate your answer:

3000 character(s) maximum

12. Based on your experience, what impact have reductions granted to energy intensive users had on renewable energy charges and other relevant charges paid by non-energy intensive industrial consumers and households?

- Excessive
- Adequate
- I don't know

Please substantiate your answer:

3000 character(s) maximum

13. Based on your experience, has the higher aid intensity allowed under point 78 of the EEAG been adequate to address the double market failure linked to the higher risks of innovation and the environmental aspects of the project without creating unnecessary distortions of competition?

- Yes
- Not adequate (too low aid intensity)
- Not adequate (too high aid intensity)
- I don't know

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

Efficiency:

In this section, we would like to know your opinion about the efficiency of the EEAG and the related GBER provisions.

14. Based on your experience, to what extent are the different compatibility conditions and methodologies included in the EEAG and the GBER related provisions sufficiently clear and easy to apply:

	Yes	No	I don't know
- in general terms?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

- as regards the methodology for calculating eligible costs for investment aid to go beyond standards, in the absence of standards and early adaptation to standards under Article 36 of the GBER and points 73 to 75 of the EEAG?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the criteria for limiting bidding processes for renewables to specific technologies (see EEAG point 126 and GBER Article 42.3)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the methodology for calculating eligible costs for investment aid to renewables and co-generation (CHP) projects?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the methodology to assess proportionality of aid based on levelised cost of energy (see point 131 of the EEAG and Article 43, paragraphs 5 and 6 of the GBER)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the provisions for demonstration projects (as defined in point 19 paragraph 45 of the EEAG) and for the new and innovative renewable energy technologies (see Article 42.4 of the GBER)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the methodology to assess eligible costs for energy-efficiency investment aid under Article 38 of the GBER?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the compatibility conditions (in particular the full passing on, the leverage condition, the conditions imposed on the financial intermediaries) for energy efficiency projects in buildings (see paragraphs 4 to 10 in Article 39 of the GBER)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the compatibility conditions for aid for Resource Efficiency (section 3.5.1 of the EEAG read in combination with section 3.2 of the EEAG)?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the compatibility conditions (in particular the “state of the art” requirement, the “polluter pays principle” and the “treatment of the waste of others”) for waste management projects under 47 of the GBER and section 3.5.2 of the EEAG?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- as regards the methodology for calculating eligible costs for waste management projects under Article 47 of the GBER and section 3.5.2. of the EEAG?	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
- Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

15. Based on your experience, how do administrative costs incurred by the aid application under the EEAG and GBER related provisions compare with the actual amount of compensation received?

Please rate from very low (administrative costs representing less than 1% of the actual amount of compensation received) to very high (administrative costs representing more than 20% of the actual amount of compensation received):

	Very low (less than 1%)	Low (between 1% and 5%)	Intermediate (between 5% and 10%)	High (between 10% and 20%)	Very high (more than 20%)	I don't know
Proportion of administrative costs in total actual amount of compensation received	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

Relevance:

16. Based on your experience, have the EEAG and GBER adequately addressed recent market developments or technological changes such as:

	Yes	No	Partially	I don't know
Storage	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Zero subsidy bids	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Repowering	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewable energy power purchase agreements	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Renewable self consumption and/or active consumers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Citizens energy communities and/or renewable energy communities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Hydrogen, synthetic fuels and low carbon gas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Alternative fuel infrastructure (publicly accessible or dedicated infrastructure)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Low or zero emission vehicles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Carbon Capture, Storage and/or Utilisation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Nearly-zero-energy buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Smart energy technologies (e.g. in buildings)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Energy services (e.g. energy performance contracting)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Advanced technology for water reuse (e.g. membranes and UV)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

17. To what extent do recent economic developments – such as the falling renewable energy costs and possible changes to trade intensity and electro intensity of the sectors concerned – impact the relevance of the rules which apply to reductions for energy-intensive users (EIUs)?

	To a large extent	To some extent	Not at all	I don't know
Falling costs of renewable energy producers	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Changes to the trade intensity of the sectors listed in Annex 3 and 5 of the EEAG	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Changes to the electro intensity of the sectors listed in Annex 3 of the EEAG	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

3000 character(s) maximum

Coherence:

In this section, we would like to know your opinion on the extent to which the EEAG and the related GBER provisions are coherent with other EU policies and legislations.

18. Based on your experience, to what extent are the EEAG and the related GBER provisions coherent with relevant EU policies and legislation such as:

	Yes	No	Partially	I don't know
Renewable Energy Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Electricity Directive [6]	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Electricity Market Regulation [7]	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Risk-preparedness Regulation [8]	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EU ETS Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Industrial Emissions Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Alternative Fuels Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Energy Efficiency Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Energy Performance of Buildings Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
EU Waste legislation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Water Framework Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Air Quality Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Birds Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Habitats Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
ERDF Regulation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

5000 character(s) maximum

[6] This directive is under review. The latest text can be consulted on: [https://oeil.secure.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2016/0380\(COD\)&l=en](https://oeil.secure.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2016/0380(COD)&l=en)

[7] This regulation is under review. The latest text can be consulted on: [https://oeil.secure.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2016/0379\(COD\)&l=en](https://oeil.secure.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2016/0379(COD)&l=en)

[8] This directive is under review. The latest text can be consulted on: [https://oeil.secure.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2016/0377\(COD\)&l=en](https://oeil.secure.europarl.europa.eu/oeil/popups/ficheprocedure.do?reference=2016/0377(COD)&l=en)

19. Have the EEAG and GBER rules on exemptions or reductions from energy taxation produced inconsistencies with other EU rules?

	Yes	No	Partially	I don't know
Energy Taxation Directive	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Other (please specify)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Please explain:

1000 character(s) maximum

Final Comments and Document Upload

If there is anything else you would like to say which may be relevant for the evaluation of the EEAG and the related GBER provisions, please feel free to do so:

1000 character(s) maximum

If you wish to attach relevant supporting documents for any of your replies to the questions above, please feel free to do so:

Please upload your file

The maximum file size is 1 MB

Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

Please indicate whether the Commission services may contact you for further details on the information submitted, if required.

- Yes
- No

THANK YOU FOR RESPONDING TO THIS QUESTIONNAIRE