

Mid-term evaluation of the Structural Reform Support Program (SRSP) 2017 – 2020

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

The Structural Reform Support Programme (SRSP) was established by [EU Regulation 2017/825 of 17/05/2017](#) and entered into force on the 20/05/2017.

The SRSP was amended (Regulation (EU) 2018/1671 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23/10/2018) to increase the financial envelope of the Structural Reform Support Programme and to adapt its general objective in order to add support for non-euro-area Member States that wish to adopt the euro to help them prepare for participation in the euro area. As a result, the overall financial envelope of the SRSP has been set at EUR 222 800 000.

The general objective of the Programme (as amended) is to contribute to institutional, administrative and growth-sustaining structural reforms in Member States by providing support to national authorities. In this context, the ultimate goal is to enhance cohesion, competitiveness, productivity, sustainable growth, job creation, investment and social inclusion and to contribute to real convergence in the Union. Support for national authorities is delivered in the context of economic governance processes, and may include assistance for the efficient, effective and transparent use of the Union funds.

The specific objectives of the SRSP, as set out by Article 5 of the EU Regulation 2017/825, are to support the national authorities in, inter alia, designing reforms according to Member States' priorities, enhancing the Member States' capacity to implement reform policies as well as enhancing human resource management.

Examples of support activities provided under the SRSP can be found on the following webpage: https://ec.europa.eu/info/publications/examples-reform-support-provided-srss_en

The purpose of the mid-term evaluation is to report on the achievement of the Programme's objectives, focusing in particular on the relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, coherence and EU added value of its actions (Better Regulation Guidelines).

This public consultation aims to gather stakeholders' views on the achievements of the SRSP. We welcome contributions from: citizens; associations representing the civil society; international organisations; think tanks; and public administrations. The information collected will feed into the mid-term evaluation of the Programme.

If you wish to receive further information regarding this mid-term evaluation or guidance on how to complete the questionnaire, please contact us at the following email address SRSS-08-COORDINATION@ec.europa.eu

The consultation has been designed to take up as little of your time as possible. It will remain open for your response until **XX XX 2019**.

Thank you for your valuable input.

1. Structural Reform Support Programme (SRSP) Knowledge

* 1.1 To what extent are you familiar with the Structural Reform Support Programme (SRSP)?

- I have heard about it
- I have been involved in a technical support project as a provider/expert
- I have been involved in a technical support project as a Beneficiary Authority
- I know someone that has been involved in it
- I have never heard about it

1.2 Please let us know whether you have experience with one or more of the following funds and programmes (multiple choice possible)

Provide your best estimate from 1 to 5 based on the following scale: (1) not at all; (2) to a limited extent; (3) to some extent; (4) to a high extent; and (5) to the fullest extent. Select "don't know" if you do not know or you have no opinion.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
* The European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* The Cohesion Fund (CF)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* The European Social Fund (ESF)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* Fiscalis 2020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* Horizon 2020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* Isa2 (Interoperability solutions for Public Administrations, businesses and citizens)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify the name of the other programme

2. SRSP coherence, relevance, effectiveness, efficiency, and EU added value

2.1 Please indicate the extent to which the SRSP and other EU programmes with similar objectives complement each other (i.e. cover aspects and or actions that are not undertaken by the other programme) or create synergies (i.e. they produce a combined effect of greater synergies than the sum of their separate effects).

Provide your best estimate from 1 to 5 based on the following scale: (1) not at all; (2) to a limited extent; (3) to some extent; (4) to a high extent; and (5) to the fullest extent. Select "don't know" if you do not know or you have no opinion.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
* The European Regional Development Fund (ERDF)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* The Cohesion Fund (CF)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* The European Social Fund (ESF)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* Fiscalis 2020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* Horizon 2020	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
* Isa2 (Interoperability solutions for Public Administrations, businesses and citizens)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify the name of the other programme

2.2 To what extent do you think the SRSP technical support measures provided to Member States promote the implementation of challenges identified in the context of the Economic governance processes of the European Union, such as the European Semester of economic policy coordination?

- Not at all
- To a limited extent
- To some extent
- To a high extent
- To the fullest extent
- Do not know or have no opinion

2.3 To what extent do you believe that the SRSP technical support measures provided to national authorities of the Member States respond to a real need (concerning the design and implementation of reforms), which the EU Member States have experienced or are experiencing?

(The SRSP is expected to contribute to institutional, administrative and growth-sustaining structural reforms in the Member States. The support is provided to national authorities for the implementation of measures aimed at reforming and strengthening institutions, governance, public administration, and economic and social sectors. The overarching goals are enhancing cohesion, competitiveness, productivity, sustainable growth, job creation, and investment, in particular in the context of economic governance processes, including through technical assistance for the efficient, effective and transparent use of the Union funds.)

Provide your best estimate from 1 to 5 based on the following scale: (1) not at all; (2) to a limited extent; (3) to some extent; (4) to a high extent; and (5) to the fullest extent. Select "don't know" if you do not know or you have no opinion.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Governance & public administration	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Growth & business environment	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Labour market, education, health & social services	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Revenue administration, tax policy & public financial management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Financial sector & access to finance	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.4 To what extent have SRSP technical support measures contributed so far to the achievement of the following objectives?

Provide your best estimate from 1 to 5 based on the following scale: (1) not at all; (2) to a limited extent; (3) to some extent; (4) to a high extent; and (5) to the fullest extent. Select "don't know" if you do not know or you have no opinion.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
To support national authorities in the design /implementation of their reforms	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
To support the national authorities in enhancing their capacity to formulate, develop and implement reform policies and strategies	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
To support the efforts of national authorities to define and implement appropriate processes and methodologies by taking into account good practices of and lessons learned by other countries in addressing similar situations	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
To assist the national authorities in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of human-resource management	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.5 In your view, are the SRSP technical support measures efficient (in terms of being rapid, timely and cost-effective actions) in achieving the objectives of the Programme compared to other EU, national or local interventions?

Provide your best estimate from 1 to 5 based on the following scale: (1) not at all; (2) to a limited extent; (3) to some extent; (4) to a high extent; or (5) to the fullest extent. Select "don't know" if you don't know or you have no opinion.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know

Compared to other EU interventions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Compared to national interventions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Compared to local interventions	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.6 To what extent do the SRSP technical support measures add value, compared to what Member States could achieve on their own at national, regional and/or local levels?

Provide your best estimate from 1 to 5 based on the following scale: (1) not at all; (2) to a limited extent; (3) to some extent; (4) to a high extent; and (5) to the fullest extent. Select "don't know" if you do not know or you have no opinion.

	1	2	3	4	5	Don't know
Contribute to address cross-border or Union-wide challenges	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Bring complementarity and synergy (ies) with other programmes	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Contribute to the sharing of good practices	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Promote mutual trust between Member States and the Commission	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Promote cooperation among Member States	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

2.7 If you wish to add further information — within the scope of this questionnaire — please feel free to do so here.

1500 character(s) maximum

2.8 If you wish to add further information, please upload a file with such information.

Please feel free to upload a concise document, such as a position paper. The **maximum file size is 1MB**. Please note that the uploaded document will be published together with your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this public consultation. The document is optional and serves as additional background reading in order to better understand your position. Only files of the type pdf,txt,doc,docx,odt,rtf are allowed

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech

- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- Gaelic
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

* First name

* Surname

* Email (this won't be published)

* Scope

- International
- Local
- National
- Regional

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti | <input type="radio"/> Libya | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Dominica | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania | <input type="radio"/> Samoa |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg | <input type="radio"/> San Marino |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa | <input type="radio"/> Egypt | <input type="radio"/> Macau | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Malawi | <input type="radio"/> Senegal |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia | <input type="radio"/> Serbia |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica | <input type="radio"/> Estonia | <input type="radio"/> Maldives | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia | <input type="radio"/> Mali | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands | <input type="radio"/> Malta | <input type="radio"/> Singapore |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba | <input type="radio"/> Fiji | <input type="radio"/> Martinique | <input type="radio"/> Slovakia |
| <input type="radio"/> Australia | <input type="radio"/> Finland | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania | <input type="radio"/> Slovenia |

- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- North Korea
- Northern Mariana Islands
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Swaziland
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands

- | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|--|
| <input type="radio"/> Central African Republic | <input type="radio"/> Iraq | <input type="radio"/> Palestine | <input type="radio"/> Tuvalu |
| <input type="radio"/> Chad | <input type="radio"/> Ireland | <input type="radio"/> Panama | <input type="radio"/> Uganda |
| <input type="radio"/> Chile | <input type="radio"/> Isle of Man | <input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea | <input type="radio"/> Ukraine |
| <input type="radio"/> China | <input type="radio"/> Israel | <input type="radio"/> Paraguay | <input type="radio"/> United Arab Emirates |
| <input type="radio"/> Christmas Island | <input type="radio"/> Italy | <input type="radio"/> Peru | <input type="radio"/> United Kingdom |
| <input type="radio"/> Clipperton | <input type="radio"/> Jamaica | <input type="radio"/> Philippines | <input type="radio"/> United States |
| <input type="radio"/> Cocos (Keeling) Islands | <input type="radio"/> Japan | <input type="radio"/> Pitcairn Islands | <input type="radio"/> United States Minor Outlying Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Colombia | <input type="radio"/> Jersey | <input type="radio"/> Poland | <input type="radio"/> Uruguay |
| <input type="radio"/> Comoros | <input type="radio"/> Jordan | <input type="radio"/> Portugal | <input type="radio"/> US Virgin Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Congo | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands | <input type="radio"/> Kenya | <input type="radio"/> Qatar | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati | <input type="radio"/> Réunion | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo | <input type="radio"/> Romania | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait | <input type="radio"/> Russia | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao | <input type="radio"/> Laos | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus | <input type="radio"/> Latvia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Yemen |
| <input type="radio"/> Czech Republic | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis | <input type="radio"/> Zambia |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark | <input type="radio"/> Liberia | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin | |

*** Publication privacy settings**

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only your type, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

Public

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

* I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

