

# 2020 Public consultation on the Review of the Construction Products Regulation

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Introduction

---

Following up on the conclusions of the evaluation of the **EU Construction Products Regulation (CPR)** published in 2019 ([available via this link](#)), the European Commission has identified **five different policy options** for how to improve the functioning of the **EU market for construction products**.

It is important to understand the preferences and expected impacts of the policy options from as many relevant and interested stakeholders as possible. **We are inviting you to share your insights, facts and expectations in this public consultation survey.** Your input is highly valuable in order to contribute to the evolution and design of the EU legislation on construction products.

The survey consists of **two parts**: the first part focuses on some **background information** about you / your organisation, and the **second part focuses on the policy options and the impacts you expect them to have**. If you are responding as an **individual in your personal capacity, you will be able to choose if you wish to respond to a shorter CPR-related questionnaire** of a more general nature, or if you wish to respond to a longer, more detailed CPR-related questionnaire that requires a certain level of prior knowledge of the CPR.

If you encounter any issues or have questions regarding the questionnaire, please feel free to contact Copenhagen Economics and the Danish Technological Institute on: [CPRsurvey@dti.dk](mailto:CPRsurvey@dti.dk) (Copenhagen Economics and the Danish Technological Institute are part of the external contractor in charge of the supporting study commissioned by the European Commission for the assessment of the impacts of future options).

**Thank you for your participation.**

## About you

---

\* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch

- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- Gaelic
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

\* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation
- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

\* Are you an independent professional / self-employed?

- Yes

- No

You have chosen 'other', please specify:

\* Type of company/business organisation/independent professional/self-employed

- Manufacturer of construction products
- Distributor of construction products
- Construction company
- Designer (architect, civil engineer, specifier, etc.)
- Raw material supplier
- Other, related to the construction sector
- Other, not related to the construction sector

\* Are you, or your company, importing construction products from countries not covered by the CPR, i.e. countries outside the EU, the UK, Norway, Switzerland, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Turkey?

- Yes
- No

\* Is your organisation a Technical Body (*e.g. a Notified Body, Technical Assessment Body, standardisation organisation, CEN, EOTA, consultancy for national competent authorities*)?

- Yes
- No

\* Which of the following best describes your organisation?

- Notified Body
- Technical Assessment Body
- Standardisation organisation
- CEN
- EOTA
- Consultancy for national competent authorities
- Other

\*

Do you wish to respond to the shorter CPR-related questionnaire of a more general nature, or do you wish to respond to the longer, more detailed CPR-related questionnaire that requires a certain level of prior knowledge of the CPR?

- The shorter CPR-related questionnaire of a more general nature
- The longer, more detailed CPR-related questionnaire

\* First name

\* Surname

\* Email (this won't be published)

\* Scope

- International
- Local
- National
- Regional

\* Organisation name

*255 character(s) maximum*

\* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

*255 character(s) maximum*

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

## \* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- |                                           |                                                           |                                        |                                                                    |
|-------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Afghanistan         | <input type="radio"/> Djibouti                            | <input type="radio"/> Libya            | <input type="radio"/> Saint Martin                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Åland Islands       | <input type="radio"/> Dominica                            | <input type="radio"/> Liechtenstein    | <input type="radio"/> Saint Pierre and Miquelon                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Albania             | <input type="radio"/> Dominican Republic                  | <input type="radio"/> Lithuania        | <input type="radio"/> Saint Vincent and the Grenadines             |
| <input type="radio"/> Algeria             | <input type="radio"/> Ecuador                             | <input type="radio"/> Luxembourg       | <input type="radio"/> Samoa                                        |
| <input type="radio"/> American Samoa      | <input type="radio"/> Egypt                               | <input type="radio"/> Macau            | <input type="radio"/> San Marino                                   |
| <input type="radio"/> Andorra             | <input type="radio"/> El Salvador                         | <input type="radio"/> Madagascar       | <input type="radio"/> São Tomé and Príncipe                        |
| <input type="radio"/> Angola              | <input type="radio"/> Equatorial Guinea                   | <input type="radio"/> Malawi           | <input type="radio"/> Saudi Arabia                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Anguilla            | <input type="radio"/> Eritrea                             | <input type="radio"/> Malaysia         | <input type="radio"/> Senegal                                      |
| <input type="radio"/> Antarctica          | <input type="radio"/> Estonia                             | <input type="radio"/> Maldives         | <input type="radio"/> Serbia                                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Antigua and Barbuda | <input type="radio"/> Eswatini                            | <input type="radio"/> Mali             | <input type="radio"/> Seychelles                                   |
| <input type="radio"/> Argentina           | <input type="radio"/> Ethiopia                            | <input type="radio"/> Malta            | <input type="radio"/> Sierra Leone                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Armenia             | <input type="radio"/> Falkland Islands                    | <input type="radio"/> Marshall Islands | <input type="radio"/> Singapore                                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Aruba               | <input type="radio"/> Faroe Islands                       | <input type="radio"/> Martinique       | <input type="radio"/> Sint Maarten                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Australia           | <input type="radio"/> Fiji                                | <input type="radio"/> Mauritania       | <input type="radio"/> Slovakia                                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Austria             | <input type="radio"/> Finland                             | <input type="radio"/> Mauritius        | <input type="radio"/> Slovenia                                     |
| <input type="radio"/> Azerbaijan          | <input type="radio"/> France                              | <input type="radio"/> Mayotte          | <input type="radio"/> Solomon Islands                              |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahamas             | <input type="radio"/> French Guiana                       | <input type="radio"/> Mexico           | <input type="radio"/> Somalia                                      |
| <input type="radio"/> Bahrain             | <input type="radio"/> French Polynesia                    | <input type="radio"/> Micronesia       | <input type="radio"/> South Africa                                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Bangladesh          | <input type="radio"/> French Southern and Antarctic Lands | <input type="radio"/> Moldova          | <input type="radio"/> South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Barbados            | <input type="radio"/> Gabon                               | <input type="radio"/> Monaco           | <input type="radio"/> South Korea                                  |
| <input type="radio"/>                     | <input type="radio"/>                                     | <input type="radio"/>                  | <input type="radio"/>                                              |

- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- 
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- 
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- 
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
-

| Central African Republic                               | Iraq                              | Palau                                                             | Tuvalu                                                     |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="radio"/> Chad                             | <input type="radio"/> Ireland     | <input type="radio"/> Palestine                                   | <input type="radio"/> Uganda                               |
| <input type="radio"/> Chile                            | <input type="radio"/> Isle of Man | <input type="radio"/> Panama                                      | <input type="radio"/> Ukraine                              |
| <input type="radio"/> China                            | <input type="radio"/> Israel      | <input type="radio"/> Papua New Guinea                            | <input type="radio"/> United Arab Emirates                 |
| <input type="radio"/> Christmas Island                 | <input type="radio"/> Italy       | <input type="radio"/> Paraguay                                    | <input type="radio"/> United Kingdom                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Clipperton                       | <input type="radio"/> Jamaica     | <input type="radio"/> Peru                                        | <input type="radio"/> United States                        |
| <input type="radio"/> Cocos (Keeling) Islands          | <input type="radio"/> Japan       | <input type="radio"/> Philippines                                 | <input type="radio"/> United States Minor Outlying Islands |
| <input type="radio"/> Colombia                         | <input type="radio"/> Jersey      | <input type="radio"/> Pitcairn Islands                            | <input type="radio"/> Uruguay                              |
| <input type="radio"/> Comoros                          | <input type="radio"/> Jordan      | <input type="radio"/> Poland                                      | <input type="radio"/> US Virgin Islands                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Congo                            | <input type="radio"/> Kazakhstan  | <input type="radio"/> Portugal                                    | <input type="radio"/> Uzbekistan                           |
| <input type="radio"/> Cook Islands                     | <input type="radio"/> Kenya       | <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rico                                 | <input type="radio"/> Vanuatu                              |
| <input type="radio"/> Costa Rica                       | <input type="radio"/> Kiribati    | <input type="radio"/> Qatar                                       | <input type="radio"/> Vatican City                         |
| <input type="radio"/> Côte d'Ivoire                    | <input type="radio"/> Kosovo      | <input type="radio"/> Réunion                                     | <input type="radio"/> Venezuela                            |
| <input type="radio"/> Croatia                          | <input type="radio"/> Kuwait      | <input type="radio"/> Romania                                     | <input type="radio"/> Vietnam                              |
| <input type="radio"/> Cuba                             | <input type="radio"/> Kyrgyzstan  | <input type="radio"/> Russia                                      | <input type="radio"/> Wallis and Futuna                    |
| <input type="radio"/> Curaçao                          | <input type="radio"/> Laos        | <input type="radio"/> Rwanda                                      | <input type="radio"/> Western Sahara                       |
| <input type="radio"/> Cyprus                           | <input type="radio"/> Latvia      | <input type="radio"/> Saint Barthélemy                            | <input type="radio"/> Yemen                                |
| <input type="radio"/> Czechia                          | <input type="radio"/> Lebanon     | <input type="radio"/> Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha | <input type="radio"/> Zambia                               |
| <input type="radio"/> Democratic Republic of the Congo | <input type="radio"/> Lesotho     | <input type="radio"/> Saint Kitts and Nevis                       | <input type="radio"/> Zimbabwe                             |
| <input type="radio"/> Denmark                          | <input type="radio"/> Liberia     | <input type="radio"/> Saint Lucia                                 |                                                            |

\* Publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

**Anonymous**

Only your type of respondent, country of origin and contribution will be published. All other personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number) will not be published.

**Public**

Your personal details (name, organisation name and size, transparency register number, country of origin) will be published with your contribution.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

## Short citizens' survey

---

\* The CE marking affixed on a construction product means that the construction product has been assessed as to its performance in accordance with a harmonised European standard or a European Assessment Document. When you buy a construction product, do you look for the CE mark?

- Yes, I only buy products that have the CE mark
- Yes, but this is not the decisive factor for buying the product or not
- No
- I do not buy construction products
- I do not know

### Comments

\* When you buy a construction product, do you look for any quality mark other than the CE mark?

- Yes
- No
- I do not know

If yes, which other quality mark?

\*

On access to information about construction products:

*Please select the statement that best describes your view*

- I usually find the product information I need.
- I usually find basic product information, but it is difficult to find the additional information I need.
- It is usually difficult to find any product information I need.
- I do not buy construction products.
- I do not know.

Comments

\* Currently, there are no EU-wide product safety requirements for construction products. Instead, each Member State regulates the safety requirements for construction works (e.g. buildings) and the EU regulates a harmonised way of testing and expressing how a construction product performs when it is part of a construction. Please select the statement below that best describes your view on construction product safety:

- I think there should be common EU safety requirements for construction products
- I do not think that there should be common EU safety requirements, it should be left to each EU Member State
- I do not know

Comments

## Design of detailed survey

---

5 main policy options have been defined by the European Commission. Policy option C contains three different elements that can either be implemented alone or in combination with each other. Policy option D comes in two different versions, D1 and D2.

**A) Baseline:** No revision of the CPR, improvements to be made under the current rules and available mechanisms

**B) Repairing** the CPR: Option A + improvements to be made by revising various aspects of the CPR

**C) Focusing** the CPR: Option B +

- C1) Limit the CPR to testing methods, and/or

- C2) Limit the CPR to core areas, and/or
- C3) Make the Common Technical Language optional for manufacturers

**D) Enhancing** the CPR: Option B + introduction of a thin layer of general product requirements applicable to all or almost all construction products, and subsequent gradual introduction of detailed product requirements for specific products via one of two possible approaches

- D1) Essential product requirements defined in Commission legal acts + voluntary standards
- D2) Product requirements defined in Commission legal acts, co-prepared with CEN and other stakeholders

**E) Repealing** the CPR: The general EU Mutual recognition principle applies for construction products

We have broken down the policy options into **13 distinct CPR-related elements**. In the following, we ask you, for each of these 13 elements, to select **your most and your least preferred variant** with regard to that element (labelled as “Best” and “Worst”).

You also have the **option to skip each of these 13 elements** to which you prefer to not provide any input.

Following your selection of most and least preferred variants, we will ask you to estimate how you think your selected variants will impact your organisation and/or the EU market for construction products in general.

**Please remember to save your answer responses frequently to avoid them being lost!**

## Element 1: Scope of EU harmonisation

---

*The scope of EU harmonisation refers to the level of harmonisation between all products covered by the CPR. Currently, the harmonisation consists in the Common Technical Language for assessing construction product performance. Changes in the CPR can either reduce or increase the scope of harmonisation of construction products in the Single Market.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Scope of EU harmonisation?**

- Yes
- No

**Please select the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | Best                  | Worst                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change, current level of EU harmonisation, continued information efforts where and when needed about the CPR’s scope.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + Eliminate confusion about the scope of the CPR, for example by excluding some products where there is little need for regulation, little intra-EU trade and little safety or environmental concern. It would also explicitly include certain products where there currently is confusion about whether a product is covered or not (e.g. modules, kits and assemblies). | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                       |                       |

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |                       |                       |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant C1) Variant B + Limit the CPR's scope to assessment methods only. No performance threshold levels or classes would be laid down at EU level.                                                                                                                                                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant C2) Variant B + Limit the CPR's scope to core areas only: i) Where Member States have similar regulatory needs ii) Where there are relevant environmental or safety concerns related to the products iii) Where it is relevant for the market in other ways. Mutual recognition applies for non-core areas.        | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant C3) Variant B + Make it optional for manufacturers to use the Common Technical Language, and Member States may regulate alternative paths to market access not based on the Common Technical Language. However, Member States must offer market access to manufacturers that do use the Common Technical Language. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant D1 and D2) Variant B + Continue the current Common Technical Language approach, but gradually complementing it with proper EU-level product requirements. Minimum harmonisation would be the rule, full harmonisation the exception.                                                                               | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No EU-level harmonisation, mutual recognition applies but no Common Technical Language to express construction product performance.                                                                                                                                                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Comments:

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 2: CE marking and Declaration of Performance (DoP)

---

*The Declaration of Performance (DoP) provides information on the performance of a construction product – it is a standardised document that must include a set of pre-defined characteristics of the product, no more, no less. The CE marking indicates that a construction product is in conformity with its declared performance and that it has been assessed according to a European standard or that a European Technical Assessment has been issued for the product. Each construction product covered by a European harmonised standard or for which a European Technical Assessment has been issued needs to have this Declaration and has to be CE marked in order to be placed on the EU market.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **CE marking and Declaration of Performance (DoP)**?

- Yes  
 No

**Please select the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Best                  | Worst                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change but continued promotion of the CE marking and DoPs through information/communication efforts                                                                                                                                                | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + Clarify and eliminate information overlaps with DoP. Allow preliminary CE marking when standards are in the pipeline (valid for a limited time period). Make it possible to declare additional characteristics in the DoP.                            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant C2) Same as Variant B, but only applicable to the core areas of the CPR. For products outside the core areas, no CE marking or obligation to draw up or communicate a DoP.                                                                                           | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant C3) Same as Variant B, but CE marking and DoP is only allowed for manufacturers that use the Common Technical Language. If the Common Technical Language is not used, it is not allowed to use a CE mark or a DoP, or any document that could be mistaken for a DoP. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant D1 and D2) Variant B + mandatory CE marking for products covered by EU product requirements (even if they are not covered by national regulation on construction works). DoP supplemented or replaced by a Declaration of Conformity with product requirements.      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No CE marking or obligation to draw up or communicate a DoP for construction products                                                                                                                                                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Comments:

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 3: Standardisation process

---

*The standardisation process refers to the process of adapting and adding standards under the framework of the Construction Products Regulation. Currently, this refers to standards of the assessment of construction products' performance when incorporated in a construction work, and the Common Technical Language to express such product performance. CEN (European Committee for Standardization) develops these standards, together with Member States, industry representatives and other experts. Currently, there is a problem that many of the standards that are developed are not approved by the Commission – therefore, firms cannot refer to those standards and affix a CE mark on their products.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Standardisation process**?

- Yes  
 No

**Please select the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | Best                  | Worst                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change. Attempt to further streamline standardisation work with CEN within the existing rules.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + The Commission can complement the Common Technical Language where needed, when no harmonised standards exist or where they are insufficient. This will be based on technical content provided by private bodies and Member States' authorities. All standards will be freely available and translated into all official EU languages. Claims that are not based on Harmonised Technical Standards must be based on 'state of the art' methods or 'best available techniques'. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No EU standards and therefore no EU standardisation process for construction products                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Comments:

\* What impact do you think that Variant B would have on the issue of delays in the standardisation process?

- Large decrease  
 Small decrease

No or negligible impact

- Small increase
- Large increase
- I do not know/Not relevant

## Comments

*500 character(s) maximum*

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 4: National requirements

---

*The purpose of the Construction Products Regulation is to improve the free circulation of construction products in the EU Single Market. Currently, Member States are not allowed to have additional, national or local, requirements that adds requirements beyond those that are harmonised at EU level. However, Member States are responsible for setting the safety, environmental and energy requirements applicable to buildings and civil engineering works. For example, a Member State is free to set the level of fire safety performance it deems necessary for construction products to be used on its territory, but it must allow market access to any product that has been placed on the market in accordance with the CPR requirements. However, there are instances where Member States do maintain national or local requirements even where they should not be allowed to do so.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **National requirements**?

- Yes
- No

### Please select the variants that you like best and worst

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Best                  | Worst                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change, the Commission will go against national requirements within the existing system                                                                                                                               | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + National requirements allowed only in specific cases where EU provisions do not yet cover the relevant regulatory need of the Member State                                                                               | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant C2) Same as Variant B for the core areas. For non-core areas, national requirements are allowed                                                                                                                                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant C3) Variant B + Member States would be allowed to have an alternative path to market access not based on the Common Technical Language, but Member States must offer market access for products that use the Common Technical Language. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant D1 and D2) Variant B + EU sets minimum product requirements. Member States may have additional product requirements, unless the EU has fully harmonised the requirements for a product.                                                 | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: Member States free to set requirements for all aspects of construction products, not regulated by other EU laws                                                                                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Comments:



**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 5: Product safety requirements

---

*Currently, harmonisation of construction products is limited to a harmonised method of assessment of product performance. There are no EU-wide product safety requirements defined for construction products by the CPR. It is important to note the difference between construction product safety requirements (input requirements), which may be introduced in a revised CPR, and construction safety requirements (process requirements) which would not be introduced in a revised CPR.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Product safety requirements**?

- Yes
- No

**Please select the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Best                  | Worst                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No EU construction product safety requirements. However, national product safety requirements must comply with the general EU free movement principles (non-discrimination and mutual recognition).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant D1) Introduce a thin layer of horizontal EU product safety requirements applicable to the vast majority of construction products. Additional specific requirements would gradually be introduced afterwards, for certain selected products or product families. Where such EU requirements are introduced, manufacturers must comply with them and affix a CE mark, even if their products are not covered by national regulation on construction works. The EU would in most cases introduce minimum product safety requirements, so that Member States can introduce national product safety requirements in addition. In exceptional cases, the EU would introduce full product safety requirements where Member States would not be allowed to introduce national requirements. The additional specific requirements would be introduced via the New Legislative Framework approach: CEN will develop voluntary standards with essential product requirements upon request from the European Commission, and products that comply with those standards would provide presumption of conformity. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant D2) Same as Variant D1, except that the additional specific requirements would be introduced via the Technical specifications Approach: Detailed requirements would be included in Harmonised Technical Specifications, i.e. Commission acts would lay down harmonised technical specifications                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: Same as A, no EU construction product safety requirements. National product safety requirements must comply with the general EU free movement principles (non-discrimination and mutual recognition).                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Comments:

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 6: Market surveillance and enforcement

---

*Member States are responsible for ensuring proper market surveillance of construction products placed on their market. The purpose of the market surveillance activities is to ensure that construction products comply with the CPR rules. Currently, the CPR has procedures for when construction products are not marketed in conformance with the CPR, but in order to use them it must be that the declared performance of a product is inaccurate and that it poses a risk to health and safety.*

*A revised CPR could introduce a series of legislative measures to strengthen market surveillance and enforcement of construction products, including:*

- *Stronger empowerments for market surveillance authorities related to fact-finding (e.g. the right to confiscate samples or to seize documents related to presumably non-compliant products)*
- *Stronger empowerments for market surveillance authorities to issue punitive measures on non-compliant operators (e.g. by imposing fiscal sanctions or to exclude non-compliant operators from public tenders)*
- *Allow manufacturers to sue non-compliant competitors*
- *Allow consumer and environment organisations to sue non-compliant operators*
- *Set up a sector-specific EU-wide whistle blowing portal for non-compliant construction products*
- *Introduce minimum benchmarks for the number of full-time equivalent staff at national market surveillance authorities*
- *Introduce procedures to ensure the proper performance of market surveillance staff, e.g. EU-wide qualification requirements for hiring staff*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Market surveillance and enforcement**?

- Yes  
 No

\* Are you giving your contribution as a public authority?

- Yes  
 No

\* What are the current annual costs to carry out CPR-related market surveillance duties in your national market?

 Euros

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                      |      |       |
|----------------------|------|-------|
| <input type="text"/> | Best | Worst |
|----------------------|------|-------|

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |                       |                       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change. Enhance national market surveillance enforcement through guidance and recommendations to Member State authorities.                                                                                                                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + a legislative package of measures to strengthen market surveillance and enforcement (the following question will allow you to indicate the measures you would prefer to be included and not included, if you select Variant B as your "Best" variant) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: Market surveillance up to each Member State and according to national rules and procedures.                                                                                                                                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | Best                  | Worst                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change. Enhance national market surveillance enforcement through guidance and recommendations to Member State authorities.                                                                                                                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + a legislative package of measures to strengthen market surveillance and enforcement (the following question will allow you to indicate the measures you would prefer to be included and not included, if you select Variant B as your "Best" variant) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: Market surveillance up to each Member State and according to national rules and procedures.                                                                                                                                                       | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Comments**

**Please indicate your preference for including the following legislative measures in a revised CPR:**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Include               | Do not include        | No opinion            |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Stronger empowerments for market surveillance authorities related to fact-finding (e.g. the right to confiscate samples or to seize documents related to presumably non-compliant products)                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Stronger empowerments for market surveillance authorities to issue punitive measures on non-compliant operators (e.g. by imposing fiscal sanctions or to exclude non-compliant operators from public tenders) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Allow manufacturers to sue non-compliant competitors                                                                                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Allow consumer and environment organisations to sue non-compliant operators                                                                                                                                   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |                       |                       |                       |

|                                                                                                                                                |                       |                       |                       |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Set up a sector-specific EU-wide whistle blowing portal for non-compliant construction products                                              | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Introduce minimum benchmarks for the number of full-time equivalent staff at national market surveillance authorities                          | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Introduce procedures to ensure the proper performance of market surveillance staff, e.g. EU-wide qualification requirements for hiring staff | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

\* Best variant: How would the annual costs change to carry out CPR-related market surveillance in your market, in your “Best” variant compared to Variant A?

- Increase
- Decrease
- No or negligible change
- I do not know

\* By how much would it increase or decrease?

*Only values of at most 500 are allowed*

 %

\* Worst variant: How would the annual costs change to carry out CPR-related market surveillance in your market, in your “Worst” variant compared to Variant A?

- Increase
- Decrease
- No or negligible change
- I do not know

\* By how much would it increase or decrease?

*Only values of at most 500 are allowed*

 %

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 7: EOTA and Technical Assessment Bodies (TABs)

---

*EOTA is the European Organisation for Technical Assessment. Its purpose is to develop European Assessment Documents (EADs) which is a document providing information about the performance of a construction product. Technical Assessment Bodies (TABs) are the executive arm of EOTA and in charge of the technical assessment of construction products not covered or not fully covered by current standards. TABs are entitled to issue European Technical Assessments (ETAs) based on the EADs. ETAs can be used as an alternative route to market access where there are no harmonised European standards.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **EOTA and Technical Assessment Bodies (TABs)**?

- Yes  
 No

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Best                  | Worst                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change, work to improve the functioning of EOTA and TABs within the current rules                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) The TABs would be replaced by the Regulatory Advancement Bodies (RABs). When a draft Harmonised Technical Specification (HTS) is in the pipeline, manufacturers can have their products assessed by a RAB. The RABs can issue a certificate confirming the performance and conformity of the products as requested in that draft HTS. The certificate would be valid until the actual citation or publication takes effect, or a maximum of 18 months. The certificate gives manufacturers the right to affix a preliminary CE mark followed by the letters "(pr)" and the date of expiry of the certificate, to their products. EOTA would be replaced by a follow-up organisation taking the role as a second standardisation body. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No need for the EOTA/TABs                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 8: Notified Bodies

---

*Notified Bodies are the only recognised third parties to carry out the assessment of performance of construction products covered by the standards set in the CPR. They are appointed by the responsible authority in each Member State. Notified Bodies assess the performance of construction products, they can certify constancy of performance, and certify factory production control systems. They can carry out these activities for all, a few, or just one of the 7 Basic Requirements for construction Works (BWRs) (for example, some specialise in fire safety assessments only). However, calculating and assessing environmental impacts (BWR7) would only be possible for a few Notified Bodies, as such calculations are a science of their own.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Notified Bodies**?

- Yes  
 No

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Best                  | Worst                 |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change, attempt to improve the functioning of the Notified Bodies within the current rules                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + Introduce mandatory qualification and competence requirements that Member States must use when they designate a Notified Body. The Commission can block the designation of a Notified Body if there is not enough evidence to prove its competence. Notified Bodies must apply clear pass-fail criteria towards manufacturers, and must change the staff responsible for certifying products of a given manufacturer every 3 years. In addition to the Notified Bodies, special bodies would be designated with specific responsibility for BWR 7 (environmental impact calculations). The special bodies could be a sub-group of the Notified Bodies, similar to the current ones in charge of fire safety. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: no role for Notified Bodies                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

## Element 9: Product Contact Points for Construction

---

*The main purpose of the national Product Contact Points for Construction is to provide information about Member States' building regulations relevant to the intended use of construction products. They are currently not in charge of providing information on the harmonised system created by and under the CPR, although it happens that Product Contact Points for Construction do this anyway, while it is not clear to what extent they are used for their main purpose.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Product Contact Points for Construction**?

- Yes
- No

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       | Best                  | Worst                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) Improve the functioning of the Product Contact Points for Construction to ensure that they fulfil their current purpose                                                                                                                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + Evaluate the role and use of Product Contact Points for Construction. In case they are not or hardly used for their main purpose, a different purpose could be envisaged, such as providing information about the harmonised system of the CPR | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No obligation for Member States to administer Product Contact Points for Construction                                                                                                                                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

Comments

**Please indicate**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| * What impact do you think it would have on economic operators' access to relevant information, if the national Product Contact Points for Construction' purpose was changed to provide information about the harmonised system of the CPR? | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 10: Simplification

---

*The CPR contains some simplification provisions to reduce the administrative burden for manufacturers. For example, manufacturers may refrain from drawing up a Declaration of Performance in some instances (e.g. if a product is custom-made), or by replacing the need for type-testing or type-calculation of a product if it is deemed that the product achieves a certain level or class of performance without further testing or calculation. However, the use of many of these simplification provisions is limited, and there are concerns that the wording of some of these provisions is unclear and difficult to understand.*

*Between the No change option and the Repeal option, legislative measures could be envisaged to improve simplification, for example:*

- *Redraft the current simplification provisions of the CPR to clarify them*
- *Allow Member States to exempt **all firms** from all or some conformity assessment obligations*
- *Allow Member States to exempt **small, medium and micro firms** from all or some conformity assessment obligations*
- *Allow Member States to exempt **micro firms** from all or some conformity assessment obligations*
- *Make it possible for the Commission to reduce or lift AVCP obligations if manufacturers have an appropriate liability insurance in place*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Simplification**?

- Yes  
 No

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                  | Best                  | Worst                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change, promote the uptake of the current simplification provisions within the CPR to the extent possible              | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Variant A + legislative measures to improve simplification (to be further examined in the following question if you select Variant B) | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No need for simplification provisions of the CPR                                                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                        | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

**Please indicate your preference for including the following legislative measures in a revised CPR**

|                                                                                                                                            | Include               | Do not include        | No opinion            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| * Redraft the current simplification provisions of the CPR to clarify them                                                                 | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Allow Member States to exempt <u>all firms</u> from all or some conformity assessment obligations                                        | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Allow Member States to exempt <u>small, medium and micro firms</u> from all or some conformity assessment obligations                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Allow Member States to exempt <u>micro firms</u> from all or some conformity assessment obligations                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| * Make it possible for the Commission to reduce or lift AVCP obligations if manufacturers have an appropriate liability insurance in place | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 11: New business models / products – 3D-printing, prefabricated houses

---

*Standardised rules as laid down by the CPR refer mostly to traditional construction products. Innovative products, such as 3D printed construction products of pre-fabricated small one-family houses, are usually not, or at least not fully, covered by the CPR's scope.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **New business models / products – 3D-printing, prefabricated houses**?

- Yes
- No

### Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | Best                  | Worst                 |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No legislative change, implying no anticipation of/provisions for new business models in the CPR beyond what is currently possible                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Legislative change so that the CPR would anticipate new business models, for instance by bringing materials and datasets used for 3D-printing of construction products, and small prefabricated one-family houses, within its scope. Operators of 3D-printshops would be assigned the responsibilities of distributors within the meaning of the current CPR. The Commission would further be empowered to modify the CPR's scope and/or to make clarifications regarding the CPR's application to new business models in the future. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No (need for) the CPR to anticipate new business models, up to each Member State to regulate market access for new construction products.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 12: Environmental aspects (BWR7 Sustainable use of natural resources)

---

*The CPR does not include a harmonised method for assessing and communicating a construction product's environmental performance. It is likely that Member States will increasingly introduce national legislation on how to assess the environmental footprint of buildings and other construction works, and therefore indirectly also the environmental footprint of construction products.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Environmental aspects (BWR7 Sustainable use of natural resources)**?

- Yes
- No

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     | Best                  | Worst                 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) Continued slow introduction of requirements regarding environmental aspects in harmonised standards                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B) Introduce a harmonised method for assessing and communicating the environmental performance of construction products. The harmonised method would be based on an existing Life Cycle Assessment method, for example the Commission's Product Environmental Footprint or EN 15804. It is currently open which method that will be chosen. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: No Basic Works Requirements                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

500 character(s) maximum

## Element 13: Circular economy

---

*A circular economy is an economic system aimed at eliminating waste and promoting a continued use of resources. Currently, the CPR does not contain specific rules for used or remanufactured (i.e. altered in some way, e.g. by cleaning the products, cutting off damaged parts, or a new coating), construction products.*

*For this element, there are two alternatives of Variant B, representing two different ways in which a revised CPR could introduce specific rules for used or remanufactured construction products.*

\* Do you wish to provide input regarding **Circular economy**?

- Yes  
 No

**Please indicate the variants that you like best and worst**

|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            | Best                  | Worst                 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Variant A) No specific provisions regarding the placement of used or remanufactured construction products in the EU Single Market                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B1) Allow certain used or remanufactured construction products to obtain CE marking in the same way as new products, with limited obligations for companies. Certain obligations would be introduced for manufacturers to promote the circularity of the construction sector, for example an obligation to take back construction products from a construction site that have not been used, or an obligation to ensure appropriate access to spare parts to repair damaged construction products. | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant B2) The revised CPR defines a 'gold standard' for (very few) used or remanufactured products and allow free circulation in the EU for those products. Member States would regulate all other products outside the 'gold standard'                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| Variant E) Repeal the CPR: Up to each Member State to regulate market access criteria for used and remanufactured construction products                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |
| I do not know/Indifferent                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

**Impacts of the variant you selected as “Best”, compared to variant A (No legislative change).**

*Please specify all the relevant impacts that you think that your “Best” variant will have on the following aspects on the EU market for construction products, compared to variant A (no legislative change). You only need to select an answer for those impacts that you expect your “Best” variant to have (you can leave some or all impacts blank). If you leave impacts blank, they will be processed as an ‘I don’t know/Not relevant’ reply. You also have the opportunity to add comments in free text.*

|                                                                         | Large decrease        | Small decrease        | No or negligible impact | Small increase        | Large increase        | I do not know /Not relevant |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| The administrative burden for your organisation                         | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Cross-border trade of construction products within the EU Single Market | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Exports of construction products to non-EU countries                    | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |
| Imports of construction products from non-EU countries                  | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>   | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/> | <input type="radio"/>       |

|                                                                                                                          |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |                       |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| Economic actors' compliance with relevant rules and regulations for construction products                                | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competition among manufacturers of construction products within the EU Single Market                                     | <input type="radio"/> |
| Safety of construction products                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Construction product innovation                                                                                          | <input type="radio"/> |
| Competitiveness of micro, small and medium-sized manufacturers of construction products, compared to large manufacturers | <input type="radio"/> |
| Sustainable use of resources for producing construction products                                                         | <input type="radio"/> |
| Durability of construction products (i.e. product lifetime)                                                              | <input type="radio"/> |
| Quality of the built environment (i.e. the human-made environment: buildings, cities, etc) in the EU                     | <input type="radio"/> |

## Comments

*500 character(s) maximum*

## Interest in participating in the validation workshop

---

Later during the course of the project, we will host a validation workshop which will either take place in Brussels or online where the project team will present the findings of the analysis, for discussion among interested stakeholders. If you are interested in joining the validation workshop, please indicate your interest by selecting "yes" in the question below. The expression of interest is non-binding.

**\* Would you like to receive an invitation to the validation workshop where the findings and conclusions of the project will be discussed?**

- Yes
- No

**Thank you for participating in this survey, providing valuable insights to the work on the EU legislation on construction products. Please submit your reply by clicking “Submit” below.**

[Link to Europa page for further updates](#)

Thank you for participating

---

**Thank you for participating in this survey, providing valuable insights to the work on the EU legislation on construction products. Please submit your reply by clicking “Submit” below.**

[Link to Europa page for further updates](#)